arXiv:1606.08126v2 [math.AP] 30 Aug 2016

On the Geometric Regularity Conditions for the 3D Navier-Stokes Equations

Dongho Chae(*) and Jihoon Lee(†)
Department of Mathematics;
Chung-Ang University
Seoul 156-756; Korea
e-mail : (∗)dchae@cau.ac.kr, (†)jhleepde@cau.ac.kr

Abstract

We prove geometrically improved version of Prodi-Serrin type blow-up criterion. Let v and ω be the velocity and the vorticity of solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations and denote $\{f\}_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$, $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. If $\left\{\left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right) \cdot \frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+ \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_T)$ with $3/\gamma+2/\alpha \le 1$ for some $\gamma > 3$ and $1 \le \beta \le 2$, then the local smooth solution v of the Navier-Stokes equations on $(0, T)$ can be continued to $(0, T + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$. We also prove localized version of a special case of this. Let v be a suitable weak solution to the Navier-tokes equations in a space-time domain containing $z_0 = (x_0, t_0)$, let $Q_{z_0,r} = B_{x_0,r} \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)$ be a parabolic cylinder in the domain. We show that if either $\left\{\left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right) \cdot \frac{\nabla\times\omega}{|\nabla\times\omega|}\right\}_+ \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r})$ with $\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \le 1$, or $\left\{\left(\frac{v}{|v|} \times \omega\right) \cdot \frac{\nabla\times\omega}{|\nabla\times\omega|}\right\}_+ \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r})$ with $\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \le 2$, ($\gamma \ge 2$, $\alpha \ge 2$), then z_0 is a regular point for v. This improves previous local regularity criteria for the suitable weak solutions.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 35Q30, 76D03, 76D05.
Keywords: Navier-Stokes equations, regularity condition, suitable weak solution.

1 Introduction

In this brief note we consider three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$(NS)\begin{cases} v_t + (v \cdot \nabla)v = -\nabla p + \Delta v, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is the flow velocity and p is the scalar pressure, respectively. The initial data v_0 satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot v_0 = 0.$$

It is well known that the first equations of (NS) can be rewritten as following equivalent form:

$$v_t - v \times \omega = -\nabla \left(p + \frac{|v|^2}{2} \right) + \Delta v, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\omega = \nabla \times v$ is the vorticity vector field. The global in time existence of a smooth solution to the system (NS) is one of the outstanding open problems in mathematical fluid mechanics. On the other hand, the global in time existence of weak solution(Leray-Hopf weak solution) was proved first by Leray[21]. There are numerous conditional regularity results of weak solutions by imposing the integrability conditions on the velocity or vorticity using scaling invariant function space for weak solutions to (NS) (see [1, 2, 10, 13, 16, 19, 23, 24, 26] and references therein). Besides the so-called Prodi-Serrin type regularity conditions, there are many studies on the geometric regularity conditions by imposing alignment of the direction of the vorticity (see [3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 17, 18] and references therein). Among the previous results, Chae[8] obtained local regularity criterion by imposing scaling invariant integrability conditions on $v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|}$ or $\omega \times \frac{v}{|v|}$ which is a refinement of other Prodi-Serrin type condition on v and ω. On the other hand, Lee[20] obtained regularity by assuming the smallness of the volume of the parallelepiped which is defined by the unit vectors $\frac{v}{|v|}$, $\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}$ and $\frac{\nabla\times\omega}{|\nabla\times\omega|}$.

We define nonlocal operator $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as $\Lambda^\beta f = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^\beta \mathcal{F} f(\xi))$ where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^3$. We use a mixed type norms for $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T)$:

$$\|v\|_{L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_T)} := \left\| \|v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\gamma_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right\|_{L^\alpha_t(0,T)}, \quad 1 \le \alpha, \gamma \le \infty.$$

We also denote $\{f\}_+(x) := \max\{f(x), 0\}$. Also direction fields $\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}$ and $\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}$ are set to be zero when $\omega(x,t) = 0$ and $\Lambda^\beta v(x,t) = 0$, respectively.

First, we consider Prodi-Serrin type blow-up criterion in terms of some triple product, which improves the previous criterion of [26]. We consider only $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ case for simplicity.

Theorem 1 *Let v be a local in time reguar solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (NS) in $Q_T := \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T)$ with $v_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, we have,*

(i) *if v, $\omega := \nabla \times v$ and $\Lambda^\beta v$ satisfies that, for an absolute constant ϵ_0 and some $\beta \in [1, 2]$,*

$$\left\| \left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|} \right\}_+ \right\|_{L^{3,\infty}_{x,t}(Q_T)} \le \epsilon_0, \tag{1.2}$$

then a regular solution v exists beyond T, that is, $v \in C([0, T+\delta); H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

(ii) *v blows up at T_*, which is a finite maximal time of local in time smooth solution to (NS), namely,*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_*} \|v(t)\|_{H^m} = \infty, \qquad \forall m \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

if and only if for all $\gamma \in (3,\infty]$ *and* $\alpha \in [2,\infty]$ *with* $3/\gamma+2/\alpha \le 1$ *and all* $\beta \in [1.2]$

$$\left\| \left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|} \right\}_+ \right\|_{L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_T)} = \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

Remark 1 *From the standard local in time existence theory of Navier-Stokes equations,* $v(t) \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $t \in (0, T_*)$ *where* T_* *is a possible blow up time of local* $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$*-solution. Therefore, any derivatives in Theorem 1 are well-defined pointwise and* $\Lambda^\beta v$ *can be used as a test function.*

Since Λ is a nonlocal operator, it does not seem easy to obtain local regularity criterion for Theorem 1. But for the case $\beta = 2$, we can obtain a local regularity criterion for the triple product including v, ω and $-\Delta v = \nabla \times \omega$.
Our goal in this paper is to prove local regularity criterion by imposing integrability conditions on the triple product $\left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla\times\omega}{|\nabla\times\omega|}$ or $\left(\frac{v}{|v|} \times \omega \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla\times\omega}{|\nabla\times\omega|}$. This improves both of the results in [8, 20] as well as the previous Prodi-Serrin type conditions. For the local analysis of a weak solution the notion of suitable weak solution is useful as shown in the partial regularity results (see [7] and [25]). Let $Q_T := \Omega \times (0,T)$, For a point $z = (x,t) \in Q_T$, we denote

$$B_{x,r} := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \,:\, |y-x| < r \}, \quad Q_{z,r} = B_{x,r} \times (t-r^2, t).$$

We also use the mixed space-time norms :

$$\|v\|_{L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z,r})} := \left\| \|v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\gamma_x(B_{x,r})} \right\|_{L^\alpha_t(t-r^2,t)}, \quad 1 \le \alpha, \gamma \le \infty.$$

We state the definition of a suitable weak solution to (NS) for local analysis.

Definition 2 *A pair* (v,p) *of measurable functions is a suitable weak solution of (NS) if the following conditions are satisfied :*

(i) $v \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega))$, $p \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q_T))$.

(ii) *The pair* (v,p) *satisfies (NS) in the sense of distribution.*

(iii) *The pair* (v,p) *satisfies the local energy inequality,*

$$\int_\Omega |v(x,t)|^2 \phi(x,t) dx + 2\int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla v(x,\tau)|^2 \phi(x,\tau) dx d\tau$$

$$\le \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left(|v|^2(\partial_t \phi + \Delta\phi) + (|v|^2 + 2p) v \cdot \nabla\phi \right) dx d\tau$$

for almost all $t \in (0,T)$ *and all nonnegative scalar test function* $\phi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$.

We say that a weak solution is regular at z, if v is bounded in $Q_{z,r}$ for some $r > 0$. This point z is called a regular point.

Below we use extended definitions of the directional fields $v(x,t)/|v(x,t)|$, $\omega(x,t)/|\omega(x,t)|$ and $\nabla \times \omega(x,t)/|\nabla \times \omega(x,t)|$, which are set to zero whenever $v(x,t) = 0$, $\omega(x,t) = 0$ and $\nabla \times \omega(x,t) = 0$, respectively.

Theorem 3 *Let $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in Q_T$ with $\bar{Q}_{z_0,r} \subset Q_T$, and (v,p) be a suitable weak solution of (NS) in Q_T with the vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times v$, where the derivatives are in the sense of distribution. Suppose v and ω satisfy one of the following conditions :*

(i) *There exists an absolute constant ϵ_0 such that*

$$\left\| \left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla \times \omega}{|\nabla \times \omega|} \right\}_+ \right\|_{L^{3,\infty}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r})} \le \epsilon_0. \tag{1.4}$$

(ii) *There exists $\gamma \in (3, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in [2, \infty]$ with $3/\gamma + 2/\alpha \le 1$ such that*

$$\left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla \times \omega}{|\nabla \times \omega|} \right\}_+ \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r}). \tag{1.5}$$

(iii) *There exists $\gamma \in [2, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in [2, \infty]$ with $3/\gamma + 2/\alpha \le 2$ such that*

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{v}{|v|} \times \omega \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla \times \omega}{|\nabla \times \omega|} \right\}_+ \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r}). \tag{1.6}$$

Then, z_0 is a regular point.

Remark 2 *We note that there are many physical flows, including Beltrami flows (see [12]), for which the triple product vanishes. The above theorem says intuitively that even if the flow is far from the Beltrami flows, if the projection of the vector $\nabla \times \omega$ on the plane spanned by v and ω is "controllable" in a local space-time region, then the flow is smooth in that region.*

Remark 3 *In [20] it was proved that if there exists an absolute constant ϵ_0 such that*

$$\left\| \left(\frac{v}{|v|} \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla \times \omega}{|\nabla \times \omega|} \right\|_{L^{\infty,\infty}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r})} \le \epsilon_0, \tag{1.7}$$

then z_0 is a regular point. As an easy consequence of (i) of Theorem 3, we can have, for $b > 0$, that if there exists an absolute constant ϵ_0 such that

$$\left\| |v|^b \left\{ \left(\frac{v}{|v|} \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla \times \omega}{|\nabla \times \omega|} \right\}_+ \right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{b},\infty}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r})} \le \epsilon_0, \tag{1.8}$$

then z_0 is a regular point. Hence, the result in [20] is a special case of Theorem 3 as $b \to 0+$.

Remark 4 *Theorem 3 (i) and (ii) can be considered as improvements of Theorem 1.1 (i) and (ii) in [8]. But Theorem 3 (iii) can extend Theorem 1.1 (iii) of [8] only on the range $\gamma \in [2, 3]$ due to the technical difficulties. In order to extend Theorem 1.1 (iii) of [8] to the triple product on the range $\gamma \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2) \cup (3, \infty]$, it seems necessary to develop different methods.*

2 Proof of the Main Theorems

First, we prove Theorem 1 by using standard a priori estimates.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let T_* be a maximal time of local existence of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ solution. Multiplying $\Lambda^\beta v$ on the both sides of (1.1) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have, for $t < T_*$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(v\times\omega)\cdot\Lambda^\beta v dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left\{\left(v\times\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)\cdot\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+|\omega|\,|\Lambda^\beta v|\,dx$$

$$\leq \left\|\left\{\left(v\times\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)\cdot\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+\right\|_{L^\gamma}\|\omega\|_{L^p}\|\Lambda^\beta v\|_{L^q} := I,$$

where p and q safisfies $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}$, $p\in[\frac{6}{5-\beta},\,\frac{6}{3-\beta}]$ and $q\in[\frac{6}{3+\beta},\,\frac{6}{1+\beta}]$.
By the interpolation inequality, we have

$$\|\omega\|_{L^p}\leq C\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p}-\frac{\beta}{2}}$$

and

$$\|\Lambda^\beta v\|_{L^q}\leq C\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{q}-\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{q}+\frac{\beta}{2}}.$$

Then we can estimate I as

$$I\leq C\left\|\left\{\left(v\times\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)\cdot\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+\right\|_{L^\gamma}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma}}\|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+3}{\gamma}}.$$

We first assume the condition (i) of Theorem 1 holds true. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2+\left[1-C\left\|\left\{\left(v\times\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)\cdot\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+\right\|_{L^3}\right]\|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2\leq 0$$

If $\epsilon_0<\frac{1}{C}$, then $v\in L^\infty(0,T_*;H^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. By the standard continuation argument, we have $v\in C((0,T_*+\delta);H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta>0$.
Next, we assume the condition (ii) of Theorem 1 holds true. By Young's inequality, we have

$$I\leq C\left\|\left\{\left(v\times\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)\cdot\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+\right\|_{L^\gamma}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2\leq C\left\|\left\{\left(v\times\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}\right)\cdot\frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|}\right\}_+\right\|_{L^\gamma}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}\|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}}v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_*)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|v_0\|_{H^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}^2 \exp\left[C \left\| \left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|} \right\}_+ \right\|_{L_{x,t}^{\gamma,\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}(Q_{T_*})}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}\right].$$

Note that $\left\| \left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\Lambda^\beta v}{|\Lambda^\beta v|} \right\}_+ \right\|_{L_{x,t}^{\gamma,\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}(Q_{T_*})}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}} < \infty$ due to (1.3). Hence, $v \in C((0, T_* + \delta); H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$. This concludes the proof. □

Before proceding our proof, we recall the notion of an epoch of possible irregularity of the suitable weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. It is well known that for weak solution there exists a closed set $E \subset I = [0, T]$ such that solutions are regular on $I \setminus E$ and 1/2-dimensional Hausdorff measure of E is zero. Moreover, E can be written as $I \setminus \left\{ \bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \right\}$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is at most countable and (α_i, β_i) are disjoint open intervals in $[0, T]$. As in [15], we call β_i as an epoch of possible irregularity. We recall the following Lemma proved by Neustupa and Penel[22] on the epoch of possible irregularity for suitable weak solutions.

Lemma 4 *Let $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in Q_T$. Suppose v is a suitable weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in Q_T and t_0 be an epoch of possible irregularity. Then there exist positive numbers τ, r_1 and r_2 with $r_1 < r_2$ such that the follwings are satisfied :*

(a) *τ is sufficiently small so that t_0 is only one epoch of possible irregularity in time interval $[t_0 - \tau, t_0]$.*

(b) *The closure $B_{x_0,r_2} \times (t_0 - \tau, t_0)$ is contained in Q_T, i.e., $\bar{B} - x_0, r - 2 \times [t_0 - \tau, t_0] \subset Q_T$.*

(c) *$((\bar{B}_{x_0,r_2} \setminus B_{x_0,r_1}) \times [t_0 - \tau, t_0]) \cap \mathcal{S} = \phi$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of possible singular points of v.*

(d) *v, v_t, and p are, together with all their space derivatives, continuous on $(\bar{B}_{x_0,r_2} \setminus B_{x_0,r_1}) \times [t_0 - \tau, t_0]$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. First, we assume that t_0 is an epoch of possible irregularity for v in $Q_{z_0,r}$. Suppose that $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r$ and $r^2 < \tau$ are the positive numbers in Lemma 4. For simplicity, we denote $B_1 = B_{x_0,r_1}$ and $B_2 = B_{x_0,r_2}$. We choose cut-off function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_2)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on B_1 and set $u = \varphi v - V$ where $V \in C_0^2(B_2 \setminus \bar{B}_1)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} V = (v \cdot \nabla)\varphi$. We note that $(v \cdot \nabla)\varphi$ satisfied the compatibility condition :

$$\int_{B_2 \setminus \bar{B}_1} (v \cdot \nabla)\varphi dx = \int_{\partial B_2} \varphi v \cdot n_2 dS - \int_{\partial B_1} v \cdot n_1 dS = 0,$$

where n_i is a unit outward normal vector to the sphere ∂B_i. Using Bogovskiĭ's Theorem(see [6] or [15, Theorem III.3.1]), we can prove that there exists at least one V

satisfying above properties. Then, by a straightforward calculation, u satisfies

$$u_t - \varphi v \times \omega + \nabla\left(\varphi\left(p + \frac{|v|^2}{2}\right)\right) - \Delta u = h, \qquad \text{div } u = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where h satisfies

$$h = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \left(p + \frac{|v|^2}{2}\right)\nabla\varphi - v\Delta\varphi - 2(\nabla\varphi\cdot\nabla)v + \Delta V.$$

We note that $h(\cdot,t)$ is sufficiently smooth and supported in the region $(\bar{B}_2 \setminus B_1)$. Multiplying $-\Delta u$ on the both sides of (2.1) and integrating, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)}$$

$$= \int_{B_2} v\times(\varphi\omega)\cdot(\nabla\times\nabla\times(\varphi v))dx - \int_{B_2} v\times(\varphi\omega)\cdot\nabla\times(\nabla\times V)dx - \int_{B_2}\Delta u\cdot h dx$$

$$\le \int_{B_2} v\times(\varphi\omega)\cdot(\nabla\times\nabla\times(\varphi v))dx + C\|v\|^2_{L^2}\|\varphi\omega\|^2_{L^2}$$

$$+C\|D^2V\|^2_{L^\infty(B_2)} + \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + C\|h\|^2_{L^2(B_2)}$$

$$\le C\int_{B_2}|v|^2\,|\varphi\omega|\,|\nabla^2\varphi|dx + C\int_{B_2}|v|\,|\varphi\omega|\,|\nabla\varphi|\,|\nabla v|dx + \int_{B_2}\varphi^2\left((v\times\omega)\cdot(\nabla\times\omega)\right)_+ dx$$

$$+C\|v\|^2_{L^2}\|\varphi\omega\|^2_{L^2} + C\|D^2V\|^2_{L^\infty(B_2)} + \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + C\|h\|^2_{L^2(B_2)}$$

$$:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + C\|v\|^2_{L^2}\|\varphi\omega\|^2_{L^2} + C\|D^2V\|^2_{L^\infty(B_2)} + \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + C\|h\|^2_{L^2(B_2)}.$$

I_1 and I_2 can be easily estimated as follows :

$$I_1 \le C\int_{B_2}|v|^2\,|\nabla\times u - \nabla\varphi\times v + \nabla\times V|\,|\nabla^2\varphi|dx$$

$$\le C\|v\|^2_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)}\|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(B_2)}\|\Delta u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(B_2)} + C(\|v\|^3_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)} + 1)$$

$$\le C\|v\|^2_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + C(\|v\|^3_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)} + 1),$$

and

$$I_2 \le C\int_{B_2}|v|\,|\nabla\times u - \nabla\varphi\times v + \nabla\times V|\,|\nabla\varphi|\,|\nabla v|dx$$

$$\le C(\|v\|_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6(B_2)} + \|v\|^2_{L^4(B_2\setminus B_1)} + \|v\|_{L^2(B_2\setminus B_1)})\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(B_2)}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)} + C(\|v\|^2_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)} + 1)\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(B_2)}.$$

Here, we note that

$$\|v\|_{L^3(B_2\setminus B_1)} \le C,$$

for some constant C and all $t \in [t_0 - r_2^2, t_0]$ due to the choice of r_1 and r_2 in Lemma 4. Let us set $\kappa := \left\{ \left(v \times \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla\times\omega}{|\nabla\times\omega|} \right\}_+$. Then I_3 can be estimated as

$$I_3 \le \int_{B_2} \kappa \, |\varphi\omega| \, |\varphi\nabla\times\omega| \, dx$$

$$\le \int_{B_2} \kappa \, |\nabla\times u - \nabla\varphi\times v + \nabla\times V| \, |\Delta u - \nabla\varphi\times\omega - \Delta\varphi v + \Delta V| \, dx$$

$$\le C\int_{B_2} \kappa \, |\nabla u| \, |\Delta u| dx + C\int_{B_2} \kappa \, |g_1| \, |\Delta u| dx + C\int_{B_2} \kappa \, |\nabla u| \, |g_2| dx$$

$$:= I_3^1 + I_3^2 + I_3^3,$$

where we set $g_1 = \nabla\varphi\times v - \nabla\times V$ and $g_2 = \nabla\varphi\times\omega + \Delta\varphi v - \Delta V$. Since g_1 and g_2 are smooth functions supported on $(B_2 \setminus \bar{B}_1) \times (t_0 - \tau, t_0]$, we estimate

$$I_3^2,\, I_3^3 \le C\|v\|_{L^2}\|g_1\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + C\|v\|_{L^2}\|g_2\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \le C\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We first assume the condition of Theorem 3 holds true. In this case, we estimate

$$I_3^1 \le C\|\kappa\|_{L^3(B_2)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6(B_2)}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)} \le C_1\epsilon_0\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2 \tag{2.2}$$

Combining all the estimates I_1, I_2, I_3^1, I_3^2 and I_3^3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2 &\le 2C_1\epsilon_0\|\Delta u\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2 + \|h\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for $t \in (t_0 - r_2^2, t_0]$, and an absolute constant C_1. If $C_1\epsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, then integrating (2.3) in time over $[t_0 - r_2^2, t_0]$, we can obtain $\nabla u \in L^{2,\infty}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})$, and therefore $\nabla v \in L^{2,\infty}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_1})$. Applying Corollary 2.1 in [8], we conclude that z_0 is a regular point.
Next, we assume that the condition (ii) of Theorem 3 holds true, and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^1 &\le C\|\kappa\|_{L^\gamma(B_2)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-2}}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ &\le C\|\kappa\|_{L^\gamma(B_2)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\gamma+3}{\gamma}} \\ &\le C\|\kappa\|_{L^\gamma(B_2)}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where we used the interpolation inequality,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-2}}} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3}{\gamma}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{\gamma}},$$

for $\gamma \in (3, \infty]$. Since $\kappa \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})$ with $3/\gamma + 2/\alpha \le 1$ and $\gamma > 3$, we have

$$\|\kappa\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}_{L^{\gamma,\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})} \le \|\kappa\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}}_{L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})} r_2^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-3}(1-\frac{3}{\gamma}-\frac{2}{\alpha})} < \infty.$$

Similarly to the previous case, we conclude that z_0 is a regular point for v by Gronwall's inequality.

Let us set $\eta := \left\{ \left(\frac{v}{|v|} \times \omega \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla \times \omega}{|\nabla \times \omega|} \right\}_+$. Then I_3 can be estimated as

$$I_3 \le \int_{B_2} \eta \, |\varphi v| \, |\varphi \nabla \times \omega| \, dx$$

$$\le \int_{B_2} \eta \, |u + V| \, |\Delta u - \nabla \varphi \times \omega - \Delta \varphi v + \Delta V| \, dx$$

$$\le C \int_{B_2} \eta \, |u| \, |\Delta u| dx + C \int_{B_2} \eta \, |V| \, |\Delta u| dx + C \int_{B_2} \eta \, |u| \, |g_2| dx$$

$$:= J_3^1 + J_3^2 + J_3^3,$$

where we set $g_2 = \nabla \varphi \times \omega + \Delta \varphi v - \Delta V$. Since V and g_2 are smooth functions supported on $(B_2 \setminus \bar{B}_1) \times (t_0 - \tau, t_0]$, we estimate

$$J_3^2 + J_3^3 \le C \|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2} + C \|u\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{8} \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2(B_2)}.$$

Now we assume (iii) of Theorem 3 holds true, then we estimate J_3^1 as

$$\begin{aligned} J_3^1 &\le C \|\eta\|_{L^\gamma(B_2)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-2}}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ &\le \begin{cases} C \|\eta\|_{L^\gamma(B_2)} \|u\|^{\frac{2\gamma-3}{\gamma}}_{L^6} \|\Delta u\|^{\frac{3}{\gamma}}_{L^2} & \text{if } 2 \le \gamma \le 3 \\ C \|\eta\|_{L^\gamma(B_2)} \|u\|^{\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma}}_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{3}{\gamma}}_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} & \text{if } \gamma > 3 \end{cases} \\ &\le \begin{cases} C \|\eta\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-3}}_{L^\gamma(B_2)} \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{8} \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2} & \text{if } 2 \le \gamma \le 3 \\ C \|\eta\|^2_{L^\gamma(B_2)} \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{6}{\gamma}}_{L^2} + \frac{1}{8} \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2} & \text{if } \gamma > 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta \in L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})$ with $3/\gamma + 2/\alpha \le 2$, $\gamma \ge 2$ and $\alpha \ge 2$, we have

$$\|\eta\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-3}}_{L^{\gamma,\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-3}}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})} \le \|\eta\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-3}}_{L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})} r_2^{\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-3}(2-\frac{3}{\gamma}-\frac{2}{\alpha})} < \infty,$$

and

$$\|\eta\|^2_{L^{\gamma,2}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})} \le \|\eta\|^2_{L^{\gamma,\alpha}_{x,t}(Q_{z_0,r_2})} r_2^{\frac{2(\alpha-2)}{\alpha}} < \infty.$$

Similarly to the previous case, we conclude that z_0 is a regular point for v by Gronwall's inequality.

Next, we suppose that t_0 is a singular time which is not an epoch of possible irregularity.

Then there exists a time t^* in $(t_0 - r^2, t_0)$ and $0 < \tilde{r}_1 < \tilde{r}_2 < r$ such that v is regular on $B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_2} \setminus B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_1} \times [t^*, t_0]$. Assume that v is not regular on $B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_1} \times [t^*, t_0]$, then there exists $s \in (t^*, t_0]$ such that the suitable weak solution is regular on $B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_1} \times [t^*, s)$ and singularity occurs at $(y, s) \in B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_1} \times \{s\}$. Then we take a local neighborhood of (y, s) contained in $B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_2} \times [t^*, s)$. Hence we can show (y, s) is a regular point by the repetition of the above argument as in the case of the epoch of possible irregularity. It gives a contradiction to the assumption that (y, s) is a singular point and hence v is regular on $B_{x_0,\tilde{r}_1} \times [t^*, t_0]$. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by NRF grants no. 2016R1A2B3011647.

References

[1] H. Beirão da Veiga, *Vorticity and smoothness in incompressible viscous flows*, Wave Phenomena and Asymptotic Analysis, RIMS, Kokyuroku **1315** (2003), 37–45.

[2] H. Beirão da Veiga, *Concerning the regularity problem for the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I. Math. **321** (1995), 405–408.

[3] H. Beirão da Veiga and L. C. Berselli, *On the regularizing effect of the vorticity direction in incompressible viscous flows*, Diff. Int. Eqns **15** (2002), 345–356.

[4] L. C. Berselli, *some geometric constraints and the problem of global regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity, **22** (2009), 2561–2581.

[5] L. C. Berselli and D. Córdoba, *On the regularity of the solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations : a remark on the role of the helicity*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **347** (2009), 613–618.

[6] M. E. Bogovskiĭ, *Solutions of some vector analysis problems connected with operators* Div *and* Grad, Trudy Seminar S. L. Sobolev, #1, 80 (1980), Akademia Nauk SSSR, Siborskoe Otdelnie Matematiki, Nowosibirsk, 5–40 (in Russian).

[7] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 771–831.

[8] D. Chae, *On the regularity conditions of suitable weak solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **12** (2010), 171–180.

[9] D. Chae, *On the regularity conditions for the Navier-Stokes and related equations*, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana **23** (2007), 371–384.

[10] D. Chae, K. Kang and J. Lee, *On the interior regularity of suitable weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. PDE **32** (2007), 1189–1207.

[11] P. Constantin and C. Fefferman, *Direction of vorticity and the problem of global regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993) , 775–789.

[12] P. Constantin and A. Majda, *The Beltrami spectrum for the incompressible fluid flows*, Comm. Math. Phys. **115** (1998), 435–456.

[13] L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin and V. Sverak, *$L^{3,\infty}$-solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness,* Russian Math. Surveys, **58** (2003), 211–250.

[14] C. Foias and R. Temam, *Some analytic and geometric properties of the solutions of the evolution Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **58** (1979) 339–368.

[15] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations, steady problems*, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 2011.

[16] Y. Giga, *Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system*, J. Diff. Eq. **62** (1986), 186–212.

[17] Z. Grujić, *A geometric measure-type regularity criterion for solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity, **26** (2013) 289–296.

[18] Z. Grujić and R. Guberović, *Localization of anlaytic regularity criteria on the vorticity and balance between the vorticity magnitude and coherence of the vorticity direction in the 3D NSE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **298** (2010) 407–418.

[19] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *On the uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Zapiski Scient. Sem. LOMI **5** (1967), 169–185.

[20] J. Lee, *Notes on the geometric regularity criterion of 3D Navier-Stokes system*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), 073103.

[21] J. Leray, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math. **63** (1934), 193–248.

[22] J. Neustupa and P. Penel, *Regularity of a suitable weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations as a consequence of a regularity of one velocity component*, in Nonlinear Applied Analysis, edited by H. Beirão da Veiga, A. Sequeira and J. Videman(Plenum, New York, 1999) 391–402.

[23] T. Ohyama, *Interior regularity of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation*, Proc. Japan Acad. **36** (1960), 273–277.

[24] G. Prodi, *Un teorama di unicita per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes*, Annali di Mat. **48** (1959), 173–182.

[25] V. Scheffer, *Hausdorff measure and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977), 97–112.

[26] J. Serrin, *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **9** (1962), 187–191.